

□□ Conclusion and Next Step

We have conducted the field investigation in May 2006 and confirmed that the materials are effective to the heaped garbage in Ordot. However, further information are required as follows ;

Information required ;

- a. Request items from the Guam Government
 - . Volume of daily generation of garbage
 - . Volume of existing heaped garbage at Ordot (.)
 - . Contents of waste at Ordot
 - . Water analysis
 - . Confirmation of dangerous object in heaped garbage
- b. Done by the Contractor
 - . Effectiveness of materials to the heaped garbage
 - . Survey for working area/space
 - . Survey for construction method

□□ Estimated cost

1) Material and Site Work

	Bacteria+Zeolite
a. Heaped garbage treatment	¥ 675,600,000
b. Generated garbage (100 tons/day, 5 days/week) treatment (Active Water manufacturing facility and spraying material for 2 years only)	¥ 172,200,000
Total	¥ 847,800,000

2) Option

- a. Segregation of heaped garbage treatment ¥ 4,800,000
(Includes only the materials for 1 year. The cost for trash separation of the garbage, spraying the material and supervisor from manufacturer should be born by the owner.)
- b. Countermeasures for flies ¥ 20,000,000
(Numerous flies observed at the dump; utilize the bacteria, prevent fly infestation.)



3) Conditions

- a. The waste/garbage receiving at the dump is maximum 100 tons per day, 5 days a week.
- b. The period of receiving waste/garbage is two years only.
- c. The diluting water of about 10 ton per day should be supplied by the Guam Government on site area.
- d. The odor inspections for heaped garbage treatment will be carried out twice when the treatment is completed and 1 week after the completion. The monitoring after the above inspection should be done by the Guam Government.
- e. Regarding the generated (new heaped) garbage treatment, the supervisor for one month, Bacteria, Zeolite and Active Water manufacturing facility will be supplied within the Contract; the spraying the material should be done by the Owner.
- f. Odor inspection for generated garbage will be carried out before the treatment starts and after the first treatment completed (first day).
- g. Regarding the segregation of heaped garbage treatment, only Zeolite will be supplied within the contract; the spraying the material should be done by the Owner.
- h. The Active Water manufacturing facility will be inspected for its manufacturing ability and power of Active Water under TURNING OVER of the facility.

6 ☐ Attachment

- 1) ATT-1 Outline of toxic gas
- 2) ATT-2 Supplementary explanation for the Bacteria and Zeolite



Outline of toxic gas

Attachment ATT-1

.. Hydrogen Sulfide.H₂S.Compound of sulfur and hydrogen

- ☐ Bad odor.It is harmful to the human body.
(Eyes, skins, stimulation of mucous membranes, water pollution)
- ☐ Heavier than air, colorlessness, often melts to water, mild acidity, strong irritating odor like a rotting egg.
- ☐ Forming the precipitation of a metallic sulfide by reacting with aqueous solution containing a metallic ion.
- ☐ Degree of risk to density

Concentration □Unit□ppm □	Affection to body
1,000-2,000	Immediate death
600	Fatal poisoning in about one hour
200-300	Acute poisoning in about one hour
100-200	Symptom: anosmia
50-100	Symptom: Airway stimulation, conjunctivitis
10	Restriction value of Japan Industrial safety and health law (Permissible limiting concentration)
3	Feeling of discomfort
0.02-0.2	Restriction value of atmospheric concentration based on Japan malodor prevention law
0.03	Boundary value of sensing smell

Data from Free Encyclopedia "Wikipedia "

.. Methane.CH₄.

- ☐ Tasteless, odorless, colorless combustible gas
- ☐ The combustion calorie is 500-6,500kcal/, Equal to city gas in Japan
- ☐ Greenhouse gas

.. Methyl Mercaptan (CH₃SH)

- ☐ Colorless gas with a smell like rotten cabbage
- ☐ It is a natural substance found in the blood, brain, and other tissues of people and animals
- ☐ Released from animal feces

.. Ammonia (NH₃)

- ☐ Colorless gas with strong pungent odor
- ☐ Gas at standard temperature and pressure
- ☐ Toxic and corrosive to some materials



Supplementary explanation

For the Bacteria and Zeolite

Attachment ATT-2

□□ Outline of the Bacteria and Zeolite

1) Brand Name : All Dash Molt (Bacteria, liquid)

All Dash Soft (Bacteria, powder)

Zeolite (Mordenite type, powder)

2) Main elements : All Dash

Bacteria extracted and cultured from natural soil that has strong bacterial decomposition power for both aerobic and anaerobic conditions.


Zeolite

Mineral called Natural Aluminosilicate which has high functional porous structure. Organic matter, gas or heavy metals are adsorbed to the micro holes of Zeolite.

□□ Decomposition of Organic Matter and Deodorization

Spray mixture of Zeolite and All Dash with water to the surface of the heaped garbage. You may obtain better result, if the dilute solution could be mixed with the garbage of about one meter from the surface.

Basic Principals



3□ Expected Quantity Consumed

1) Heaped garbage treatment

Mix 0.8 liters of All Dash Molt, 0.66 kgs of All Dash Soft, 20 kgs of Zeolite with 103 liters of water makes 129 liter of dilute solution. (The final rate of dilution will be decided with the result of the detailed site investigation)



The same solution above 1) is applied for the initial treatment period of about three (3) months. After the initial period, mixture of Active Water and water is applied. (The final rate of dilution will be decided with the result of the detailed site investigation.)

5 Application

1) Heaped garbage treatment

- A. Two (2) litter of the dilute solution will be applied to the one square meter of leveled garbage heap by a bulldozer.
- B. Gas drainage (4 meter long perforated PVC pipe) may be installed if the emission of the gas large and required. Grained Zeolite, All Dash impregnated, will be placed on the top of the pipe in order to reduce the odor and gas.

2) Generated (newly heaped) garbage treatment

- A. One (2) litter of the dilute solution will be applied to the one square meter (depth of 50 cm expected) of leveled garbage for first three (3) months.
- B. Four (4) water tanks will be constructed/placed to the project area or other, and start manufacturing Active Water from the polluted water leaches from the heap or sewage sludge. About three (3) months from the installation of the tanks, Active Water will be manufactured and ready to use for this application. Reduction of water pollution is expected by applying Active Water continuously to the garbage.

Outline of Bacteria and Zeolite Treatment Method

Heaped garbage treatment

- .. Dilute solution will be applied to the leveled garbage heap by a bulldozer. (The diluting water should be supplied by the government of Guam on job site.)
- .. Gas drainage will be applied if required.



Gas drainage will be installed if required. Grained Zeolite, All Dash impregnated, will be placed on the top of the pipe in order to reduce the odor and gas.

Generated(new heaped) garbage treatment



however, the spraying the material to the garbage should be done by the Owner.)



Spray the solution when
dumping.

□□**Job Reference**

- ..Hatoyama Municipal Landfill, Saitama, Japan : Decomposition of toxic gases and Deodorization
- ..Jakarta Municipal Landfill, Java, Indonesia : Decomposition of toxic gases and Deodorization
- ..Oi Town Garbage Incineration Plant, Saitama, Japan : Decomposition of toxic gases and Deodorization
- ..Ageo Municipal Garbage Incineration Plant, Saitama, Japan : Decomposition of toxic gases and Deodorization
- ..Tomi Municipal Sewage Plant, Nagano, Japan : Deduction of sewage sludge

□□**Patent**

- ..Method of Sludge Recycling. U.S. Patent Application No. 10/704,686



1 1 1
2 2 2
3 3 3

PROPOSAL OF A
COVER SYSTEM FOR PREVENTION OF RAIN WATER
FOR
THE ORDOT DUMP
FOR
THE ISLAND OF GUAM

Sep. 25, 2006

Ocean Development Co. Inc. Group



1



2

□□ INTRODUCTION

We would like to propose the following cover system to prevent rain water run on the active filling area at the Ordot dump.

This system will be used as a temporary protection until the new melting system is in service and burning out the heaped garbage.

□□ OUTLINE OF THE SYSTEM

The method is to cover with the water-proof sheet with a rainfall flow adjustment pond to prevent rain water run on the active filling area for improvement of environmental pollution.

A. Work Outline

Water-proof sheet cover area App.280m x App.200m


Covered after leveling the landfill

Note: Water-proof sheets will be installed after completion of the treatment work to prevent the generation of bad odor and toxic gases by other special contractor.


Rainfall adjustment pond 100m x 100m x 1m.Depth Using water-proof sheet

- Condition of
- (1) Maximum rainfall amount/hr.140mm/hr
 - (2) Rain water outflow.App.7,500 /hr. from sheet cover area
 - (3) Amount of storing water 10,000 .

B. Plan







□□ ESTIMATED COST

Rain water measure JP.¥ 400,000,000

[Note]

This proposal was made with the limited information that we could obtained by the present stage. Therefore, it is necessary to review and examine carefully, after more accurate investigation and feasibility study.



4



GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN

P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 26, 2006

SPECIAL MEETING

The Guam EPA Board of Directors held a special meeting on Tuesday, September 26, 2006 in the Guam EPA Conference Room, 33-7704 Mariner Avenue, Tiyan, Guam.

PRESENT:

Eight members of the Board were present: Vice-Chairman Alfred Lam, Bob Perron, Andrew Park, Luckie Sakamoto, Janice Wong, Flori Sanchez, Thomas Poole, and newly appointed member Jorge Nelson. [Chairman George Lai was off-island and sent his regrets.] These members constituted a quorum. Others present included: Roland Gutierrez, Conchita S.N.Taitano, Peggy Denney, Margaret P. Aguilar, Helen Kennedy, Barbara Torres, John Jocson, Julia Domescik, Jose Chargualaf, Paul Tobiason, Joseph T. Flores, Lucia Perez, and Alicia Diego.

I. Call to Order

Vice-Chairman Alfred Lam called the meeting to order at 5:10 p.m. The Board voted to accept the Agenda as presented. (Park/Perron)

II. Public Hearing for the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (ISWMP)

The Chairman convened the public hearing. Mr. Roland Gutierrez provided a power point presentation and summarized key elements of the ISWMP, as proposed. At the end, Mr. Gutierrez summarized the Plan approval process and its associated timelines.

Representatives of the general public who provided verbal comments on the ISWMP were:

Jose Chargualaf *, Alicia Diego*, Paul Tobiason*, Lucy Perez, and Joseph T. Flores, and Rosanna San Miguel. [Names with an asterisk also submitted written testimony]. See Attachment I for a summary of comments and responses.

Ms. Kennedy and Ms. San Miguel discussed the adjudication process, following the approval of the ISWMP by the Board. Ms. Kennedy said that the law requires that the approved document (ISWMP) be delivered to the Legislature; and the Legislature has 90 days to take action. If no action is undertaken (by the Legislature), the plan automatically is approved.

There was no further testimony, and the Chairman asked for a motion to adjourn the Public Hearing on the ISWMP. The Board voted to adjourn the Public Hearing. (Perron/Sakamoto)

III. Action on the ISWMP

The Chairman asked for a motion to adopt the ISWMP. The Board voted to adopt the 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (Nelson/Poole)


IV. Next Meeting Date/Adjournment


The Board voted to meet at 5:00 p.m. on October 19, 2006. There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned.


Margaret P. Aguilar
9.28.06

"ALL LIVING THINGS OF THE EARTH ARE ONE"











2006 ISWMP Update Of 2000

- Guam EPA / DPW Team
- Process:
 - Where we are
 - Where we want to be
 - How to get there
 - How we know whether we have succeeded

Where We Are

- Status of 2000 ISWMP Task (Table 1-1)
 - Operation of Ordot Dump
 - Opening of New Landfill
 - Billing and Collection System
 - Data Collection
 - Collection and Transport
 - Recycling
 - Composting

Where We Want To Be

- Establish Guam Solid Waste Authority
- Extend Solid Waste Projection
- Privatize Collection and Transport
- Increase Recycling, Composting, and Proper Handling of Special Waste
- Implement Public Education Strategy



Where We Want To Be
(Continued)

- Maximize Waste Diversion and Minimize Waste Disposal
- Reduce Illegal Dumping
- Close Ordot Dump (Consent Decree - 2007)
- Open Layon Landfill (Consent Decree - 2007)

**How Do We Get To
Where We Want To Be
And
How Do We Measure Our
Success**

Guam Solid Waste Authority

- Management of Solid Waste Operations (1998-2005)
 - Tipping Fee Management
 - Debt Management
 - Contract Administration
 - PUC Rate Making
 - Environmental Compliance
- Legislation to form Guam Solid Waste Authority
 - Combined Commission on Utilities (CCU) Oversight
 - Experienced General Manager
 - Experienced Chief Financial Officer
 - Contract Administrators Trained in Solid Waste Contracts



EXTENDED SOLID WASTE PROJECTIONS			
Year	Waste Diversion Percentage	Landfill Space Needed (CY)	Percentage of Landfill Used
2007	2%	323,470	2.31%
2017	15%	262,211	2.00%
2027	28%	237,651	1.70%
2037	42%	191,441	1.37%
2025	2%	6,512,779	46.46%
2025	15%	5,648,839	40.30%
2025	28%	4,784,899	34.13%
2025	42%	3,854,502	27.50%
2037	2%	14,018,644	100.00%
2037	15%	12,159,028	86.73%
2037	28%	10,299,412	73.47%
2037	42%	8,296,748	59.18%

COLLECTION AND TRANSPORT

- Mandatory Source Separation
 - Commercial
 - Residential
- Regional Solid Waste Transfer Station
 - Recycling
 - Composting
 - Waste Compaction/Consolidation for Landfilling

Recycling, Composting, Special Waste Handling, Illegal Dumping

- Recyclable Waste
- Composting Waste
- Household Hazardous Waste
- Bulky Waste (White Goods / Abandoned Vehicles)
- Illegal Dumping



Landfilling

- Layon Landfill
 - Design and Construction (2005 – 2007)
 - Operate
 - (Sept. 2007 or earlier up to 2037 at 2% recycling)
 - (Sept. 2007 or earlier up to 2057 at increasing 15% to 42% recycling)
- Ordot Dump
 - Permit To Operate Towards Closure (Dec. 2005- Sept. 2007 or earlier)
 - Closure Design and Construction (Oct. 2007)
 - Post Closure Maintenance and Operation (30 yrs)

PUBLIC EDUCATION

- Public Awareness & Involvement
 - Schools
 - Commercial / Tourist Business
 - Government of Guam / Institutions
 - General Public
 - Military Installations

Next Steps

Description of Task	Proposed Date
Public Comment Period	September 15, 2006 to September 26, 2006
Public Hearing	September 26, 2006
Finalization of Guam 2006 ISWM Plan	October 5, 2006
Guam EPA Board Approval	October 19, 2006
Submittal to Legislature	October 23, 2006



QUESTIONS / COMMENTS

For Additional Information Contact
Guam EPA at 475-1658 /9





GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN

P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

September 26, 2006 5:00 P.M.
GEPA CONFERENCE ROOM
17-3304 MARINER AVENUE, TIYAN

AGENDA

- I. Call to order**
- II. Public Hearing on the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (ISWMP)**
 - A. Convene public hearing and accept verbal testimony from members of the public**
 - B. Adjourn public hearing**
- III. Action: Approve/Disapprove ISWMP with Amendments**
- IV. Next Board Meeting Date**
October 19, 2006 (3rd Thursday)
- V. Adjournment**



BOARD MEETING
September 26, 2006

SIGN IN SHEET

BOARD MEMBERS:

George Lai
Board Chairman

OFF - ISLAND

Alfred Lam
Vice-Chairman

Andrew C. Park

Bob Perron

Florida Sanchez









Lucky Sakamoto

Janice Wong

Thomas Poole

Jorge Nelson

**Youth Representative
vacant**



BOARD MEETING
September 26, 2006

SIGN IN SHEET

BOARD MEMBERS:

George Lai
Board Chairman

OFF -ISLAND

Alfred Lam
Vice-Chairman

Andrew C. Park

Bob Perron

Florida Sanchez









Lucky Sakamoto

Janice Wong

Thomas Poole

Jorge Nelson

**Youth Representative
vacant**





GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN

P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

September 26, 2006 5:00 P.M.

GEPA CONFERENCE ROOM

17-3304 MARINER AVENUE, TIYAN

AGENDA

- I. Call to order
- II. Public Hearing on the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (ISWMP)
 - A. Convene public hearing and accept verbal testimony from members of the public
 - B. Adjourn public hearing
- III. Action: Approve/Disapprove ISWMP with Amendments
- IV. Next Board Meeting Date
October 19, 2006 (3rd Thursday)
- V. Adjournment





GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN

P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (Guam 2006 ISWMP)

Summary of Comments and Response

In the process of seeking public input on the Draft 2006 update to the Guam Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (the draft Plan), four written letters of comment were received at Guam EPA, three of which were presented during the public hearing held on September 26, 2006. In addition to the four, two additional oral comments were also made during the public hearing. Responses to comments made during the public hearing are provided in Attachment 1 – TESTIMONY BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

Written Comments:

The three written letters received during the public hearing were addressed by Guam EPA staff and members of the Board as noted Attachment 1 above. These letters of comments were from, Jose S.N. & Lolita B. Chargualaf, Concerned Residents of Inarajan, Ms. Alicia Diego, resident of Inarajan, and Paul S. Tobiason, Member of Recycling Association of Guam.

The forth letter of written comment was provided by Yusuke Kurumi, President and CEO of Ocean Development Co., Inc (Group), received by Guam EPA on September 26, 2006. Submitted in his package were the following:

- 1) Comment letter dated September 25, 2006
- 2) Document entitled *Proposal of a Solid Waste Treatment Plant for the Island of Guam*
- 3) Document entitled *Proposal for Prevention of Environmental Pollution at the Ordot Dump in Guam*
- 4) Document entitled *Proposal of a Cover System for Prevention of Rain Water for the Ordot Dump for the Island of Guam*
- 5) A CD on the *Active Water Plant* (NHK TV)
- 6) A DVD on the MDN (Magmatizing Waste Disposal to Nature) Process Plant (NHK TV)

Mr. Kurumi suggested the use of the latest waste disposal system which is practically used in Japan. Specifically, he proposes the use of a smaller M.D.N. plant for household waste treatment in Guam and provides documents and electronic presentations supporting his proposal. In reply to his comment, Chapter Six: Disposal and Waste Diversion, states that the use of other solid waste disposal and practical volume reduction be considered as part of the overall solid waste management strategy. Furthermore, it states that, "Landfilling, in combination with alternative



TESTIMONY BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC¹:

Guam EPA Board Public Hearing on the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan
5:00 p.m. September 26, 2006 Guam EPA Main Office Conference Room, Tiyan

1. Mr. Jose Chargualaf, Malojloj:

- Voiced his many concerns related to the impacts of siting and construction of the proposed Landfill in Dandan;
- Noted that recycling on Guam is an individual enterprise and that the Government of Guam is “lazy” regarding island recycling;

Response from Guam EPA, Ms. Conchita Taitano, Air & Land Administrator: (Does the ISWMP affect the Consent Decree? Question posed by Guam EPA Administrator...)

- *Reminded the Board that the purpose of the Public Hearing is to evaluate and look at the merits of the integrated solid waste management plan for Guam. (The people present are not at this meeting to discuss the siting criteria/siting of the new Landfill);*
- *What Guam EPA put in the plan merely reflects what the current decisions are;*
- *Guam EPA is coordinating with USEPA (as they may not approve) the proposed date changes relative to the Consent Decree (closure of the Ordot Dump and opening of the new Landfill);*
- *In response to Mr. Chargualaf's concern about additional hydrogeologic work needed (at the new Landfill site), Ms. Taitano acknowledged that such plans are underway and included in the modifications to the Consent Decree;*

Added response from Guam EPA Administrator John Jocson:

The ISWMP does not dictate the site/location of the new Landfill. The siting was determined under the Consent Decree. Guam EPA is simply incorporating what was put forth by the Consent Decree. If USEPA made an alternate decision regarding the new Landfill siting, then Guam EPA would go back and modify the ISWMP plan accordingly.

Chief Planner Randel L. Sablan addressed the question of Board member Thomas Poole: Was there an assessment done (environmental impact statement) for the Layon site?

Response: There were three environmental impact statements done on three primary sites (site proposed through a site screening evaluation process). There are volumes of documents available on the landfill part of the ISWMP.

2. Mr. Joseph T. Flores, Executive Director, Guam Developmental Disabilities Council (GDGC):

- At the state level, GDGC represents all the individuals with developmental disabilities and their families. There are 39,962 people on Guam (25.8% of the population), as of April 1, 2000, who fall under this category (CENSUS 2000).
- In the event of a disaster (in this case possible re-location of residents), Mr. Flores shared his particular concern about the welfare of his clientele who live in southern Guam and are very vulnerable.

¹ Taped testimony available for review upon appointment with the Board Secretary.



forms of solid waste source and volume reduction methods, must be analyzed in terms of effectiveness, costs, and environmental impacts, with the results compared and measured against the projected capacity of the Layon Landfill and other future landfill sites.”

Therefore, in review of the submitted written and oral comments received during the established Public Comment period and scheduled Public Hearing, where relevant to the Plan, have been addressed in the Plan as approved by the Board on September 26, 2006.



3. Alicia Diego, Inarajan resident:

- Desired clarification that the Layon landfill site was “the landfill site” integrated into the ISWMP;
- Asked for the status on Guam EPA’s effort to put some devices at the landfill site so that the impact of run-off to Ugum (River?) could be evaluated; she does not support having the Layon landfill incorporated into the ISWMP until she sees the results of the hydrogeologic study. Ms. Diego wants the results of the study shared with the people who live in the south, especially in the village of Inarajan;
- Asked if there was a possibility that the (Layon) landfill site could be modified (an alternate site chosen);
- Presented additional suggestions: (a) Referencing Roland’s presentation question, “How do we get there” - Have another hearing in the south, especially for those people living in the Layon area (b) Asked that the Board members take a tour of the pristine area (landfill site) which has the opportunity to be a water resource for Guam (c) Reference to ISWMP Section 8.34 “School Recycling Centers” – Ms. Diego commented that each GPSS school should decide whether or not it wants to serve as a local collection point for certain recyclable materials. Suggested that programs be “piloted”, i.e. aluminum collection site, simply because to do something like this entails involving the kids, the parents, etc. Right now, Guam’s school system is set up for a curriculum that is mainly reading, language, and math (simply because it is tied to federal funding); and the system cannot carryout the ecology/science standard stated in the ISWMP because GPSS is into the particular DI curriculum. (d) If there is a collection site at the school, where is the manpower to deal with this. Guam EPA has to make contact with the (school) principles to work out the program kinks and details.

Acting Administrator John Jocson responded to each of Mrs. Diego’s points as follows:

- *Layon is the landfill site which has been included in the ISWMP;*
- *One of the delays in the landfill design is the completion of the hydrogeologic study. According to Chief Planner Randel Sablan, field work will begin in the next few weeks and the whole study will take less than one year. Data would be recovered over three quarters to be able to characterize the “run-off”. The details of the scope of work are ready (drill the wells, take the samples, run the model, prepare the report, then take the results and break those into the design, if there are design changes that are needed.) but the contract is pending.*
- *The designation of an alternate landfill site would fall under the Consent Decree and not the ISWMP. Changes to the current Consent Decree would require Guam EPA to revise the ISWMP as appropriate to reflect such modifications.*
- *Member Bob Perron commented that GPSS will make the decision and agree on how it will be involved in ISWMP programs.*



4. Paul Tobiason, Recycling Association of Guam:

- Generally commented that the 138 page document is a well done plan and easily accessible via the Agency's web site. It seems to be an aggressive plan which is good.
- Asked how the plan would be implemented and if he was correct in saying that that the transfer station operations will be privately operated. He is in favor of setting up a new price structure for this service.
- Disappointed in government of Guam Executive Order 2003-17 but not much is being done to accomplish the mandates under this executive order.
- Recommended more open "electronic" avenue to send in comments via e-mail or facsimile. Current instructions do not allow for this and restrict submittal of comments via hand delivery or mailing.

5. Rossana San Miguel, former Mayor of Ordot Chalan Pago:

- Asked if this Public Hearing was being held in line with the AAA process.
- Commented that the plan is fairly standard and that she did not agree with the Landfill site location at Dandan. Nor is she in favor of extending the lifetime of the Ordot dump or the lateral extension of the Ordot dump.
- Concerned about the "waiver" of requirement for an economic impact study and asked if the closure of the Ordot Dump was part of the economic study?

In response to the comment about an economic impact study, Chief Planner Randel Sablan stated that the law requires that Guam EPA make a determination as to the cost effect of the plan when it is implemented. For the ISWMP, there is no direct economic effect between the approval of the plan and the closure of the Ordot Dump. Mr. Sablan offered to go over the figures and the methodology with Ms. San Miguel.

Acting Administrator John Jocson clarified that the ISWMP follows what is already in progress. This plan addresses Guam's waste management plan related to the upcoming military build-up by stating that "until further data is received pertinent to what the military is going to do on Guam, the plan will need to be revised in the future" (updated to incorporate information on how Guam will handle the waste stream of the military). It was also noted that it is the goal or desire through the ISWMP to have one regional solid waste disposal facility for the island of Guam.

6. Lucy Perez, GTA

There are many opportunities to continue to provide input. But the Board's job tonight is to get a plan so at least Guam has one versus not having a plan. She noted that in the absence of a plan, we will have chaos. The important issue is to have a plan, a working document in place.





GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD SIGN-IN SHEET

INTEGRATED SOILD WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

DATE: Public Comment Period begins September 15, 2006 and ends September 26, 2006

Location: Guam EPA, Conference Room, Administration Bldg. #17-3304 **Program:** Solid Waste

Name	Position / Title	Company / Agency	Mailing Address	Phone No.	E-mail	Facsimile No.
None picked up from Guam EPA office as of 9/26/06 BODOR						



GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

PUBLIC HEARING SIGN-IN SHEET

INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN

DATE: September 26, 2006

Location: Guam EPA, Conference Room, Administration Bldg. #17-3304 Program: Solid Waste

revised
COPY OF
ISWMB 4:42 PM

Name	Position / Title	Company / Agency	Mailing Address	Phone No.	E-mail	Facsimile No.
JULIA DOMESCHKE	—	—	POB 326738 HAGATNA GU 96932	(p) 635-0635	JULIA GUAM2004@yahoo.com	—
Jose Changuel	Self	Resident	P.O. Box 17052	828-1217	Mia1217@MSN.COM	828-1217
Saul Tobiasan	resident	Recycling Assoc. of Guam	90 PO Box 47 AGANA GU 96932	472-7579	tobiasanp@telecom.net	
JOSEPH T. FLORES	EXE. DIRECTOR	GUAM DEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES COUNCIL	130 UNIVERSITY DR. SUITE 17 MANGILAO, GU 9693	735-9127	guamddc@ite.net	734-9121
Lucia Perez	Manag. Dir.	TeleGuam LLC BTA	PO BOX 3850 HAGATNA GU 96932	644-1020	lperez@gt.net	444-0010
Conchita SN Taitano						
Roland T. Anty Jr.	EHS III	GEPA				
ANGIE DIEGO	Self	Inaraja Resident		789-7171 (CW)		
Barbara Torres	EHS Supervisor	GEPA				
PEGGY DENNEY	PC for EHS Supervisor	GEPA				



GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN

P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

Honorable Edward J.B. Calvo
Senator and Legislative Secretary
Twenty-Eighth Guam Legislature
155 Hessler Place
Hagatna, Guam 96910

OCT 06 2006 Office of the Speaker
MARK FORBES
Date: 10/6/06
Time: 1:35
Rec'd by: [Signature]
Print Name: [Signature]
28-06-1101

SUBJECT: Adoption of Guam's 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan

Buenas yan Saluda! On September 29, 2006, the Guam EPA filed with the Legislative Secretary the following documents pertaining to Guam's 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan in conformance with the Administrative Adjudication Law, 5 GCA §9303(b).

1. The Original approved copy and one duplicate copy (electronic format) of the above subject plan;
2. One copy of the entire record of the public notices, public information meetings, and public hearing held during the public comment period of September 15, 2006 – September 26, 2006.

Enclosed is a supplement to the above package which includes the following:

1. Comments by Guam Resource Recovery Partners (GRRP) received by Guam EPA on September 26, 2006, which were not included in the package submitted on September 29, 2006; and
2. Amendment No. 1 to the Summary of Comments and Response

Should you have any comments or questions, please contact me at 475-1620.

Dangkolo na Si Yu'os Ma'ase.

JOHN M.U. JOCSON
Acting Administrator

Enclosure(s)

CC: Governor of Guam
Vice Speaker of the 28th Guam Legislature, and Committee on Natural Resources
Helen M. Kennedy, Assistant Attorney General

Senator Edward J.B. Calvo SECRETARY OF THE LEGISLATURE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT RECEIPT	
Rec'd by: [Signature]	Print Name & Initial
Time: 9:45	Date: 10-16-06

1004

Sen. J.B. Calvo LEGISLATURE
RECEIPT
ALL LIVING THINGS OF THE EARTH ARE ONE

GUAM RESOURCES RECOVERY PARTNERS

Sunny Plaza, 125 Tun Jesus Crisostomo Street, Suite 305, Tamuning, Guam 96911
Phone: (671) 647-4467, Fax: (671) 647-4671

September 26, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Mr. John M.U. Jocson
Acting Administrator
Guam Environmental Protection Agency
17-3304 Mariner Avenue
Tiyan, Guam 96913

RECEIVED
SEP 26 2006

**Guam Environmental
Protection Agency**

RE: COMMENTS TO THE GUAM 2006 INTEGRATED SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN UPDATE

Dear Mr. Jocson:

Guam Resource Recovery Partners ("GRRP") submits the following comments to the Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan Update, dated September 2006 (the "September Plan") for your agency's consideration.

1. The September Plan supercedes the January Plan (defined below) submitted to the Legislature in August 2006.

Comment – On August 4, 2006, GEPA submitted to the Legislative Secretary the Guam 2005 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, dated January 2006 ("the January Plan"). Because GEPA is now soliciting comments for the September Plan, it appears that the September Plan supercedes the January Plan, in which case GEPA should clarify whether it intends to withdraw the January Plan from the Legislature.

In addition, the January Plan did not include an economic impact statement. Pursuant to 9 G.C.A. § 9301(e) "no proposed rule or regulation shall be transmitted to *Liheslaturan Guahan* without an economic impact statement, nor shall any proposed rule or regulation go into effect without a completed economic impact statement." Accordingly, it was improper for GEPA to have submitted the January Plan to the Legislature in the first place, and the January Plan without the required economic impact statement cannot go into effect. GEPA must therefore withdraw the January Plan from legislative consideration.

2. The economic impact statement for the September Plan fails to consider the economic impact to the general public of GEPA's selection of *Dandan* as the site for the new landfill.

Comment – GEPA has determined that an economic impact statement for the September Plan is not required. Such determination is based upon GEPA's Administrator's determination that the total estimated annual cost impact of the September Plan is "significantly less than the \$500,000.00 cost impact threshold of 9 G.C.A. § 9301(j)." GRRP objects to the Administrator's determination that the September Plan will have an annual economic impact to the general public of \$500,000.00 or less. The Administrator's determination fails to account for the impact to the general public of the September Plan's provision to locate the new landfill at *Dandan*. For example, GEPA should have assessed the impact to the real estate values in Inarajan and other neighboring villages. Additionally, GEPA should have assessed the economic impact of infrastructure improvements that will be required because of GEPA's selection of the *Dandan* site. Accordingly, GRRP believes that a full economic impact statement needs to be completed.

3. The September Plan provides that "Landfilling is currently the only viable and proper option for disposal of solid waste on Guam." (Chapter Six, p. 48)

In 1996, the Government of Guam and GRRP executed the Solid Waste Construction and Services Agreement (the "Agreement"), which has its general purpose the incineration of waste using a privately financed, constructed and operated facility. In 2000, a local senator filed a complaint seeking declaratory and injunctive relief against the Government of Guam in order to have the Agreement declared invalid and to enjoin the Government from proceeding with the Agreement. See *Pangelinan v. Gutierrez*, Superior Court of Guam Special Proceedings Case No. 212-00.

In 2001, the Superior Court of Guam issued a Decision and Order declaring the Agreement valid and finding unconstitutional and inorganic local laws, namely section 6 of Public Law 24-57 and Public Law 24-272, on the basis that Public Law 24-272 made it impossible for the Administrator of GEPA to issue a permit for the operation of an incinerator and because section 6 of Public Law 24-57 illegally prohibited the Government from taking any action in furtherance of the Agreement. The judgment of the Superior Court was appealed to the Guam Supreme Court. In September 2004, the Guam Supreme Court issued an Amended Opinion. See *Pangelinan v. Gutierrez*, 2004 Guam 16. In its Amended Opinion, the Supreme Court affirmed the trial court's findings that the Agreement does not violate Guam law. Further, the Supreme Court affirmed the lower court's findings that section 6 of Public Law 24-57 and Public Law 24-272 are inorganic and unconstitutional.

Comment - This is in direct contravention of the Superior Court and Supreme Court decisions invalidating those laws that sought to prevent incineration as a legitimate means of solid waste disposal. Further, this is in direct contravention of the Agreement, which contemplates that incineration should be the primary method of solid waste disposal on Guam. Accordingly, GRRP objects to the September Plan to the extent that it impacts the Agreement by

calling for an alternate primary method of solid waste disposal other than incineration and to the extent that it ignores the decisions of the Superior Court and Supreme Court in *Pangelinan v. Gutierrez, supra*.

4. Section 6.1 lists problems with siting the new MSWLF at *Guatali*, lists the problems with the Agreement, mentions that Public Law 25-175 makes incineration illegal, and then concludes that “[w]aste reduction by incineration has been proven an economical and environmentally safe in Hawaii and in many countries and can extend the life of a landfill.” (See also Section 6.4.1, noting that Public Law 25-175 precludes waste reduction by incineration; Section 6.4.3.2, noting that the best level of volume reduction is achieved with incineration.)

Comment – The September Plan fails to recognize that the Agreement is still a valid contract between the Government of Guam and GRRP. Further, the September Plan improperly relies upon Public Law 25-175 as the basis for excluding incineration as a viable method of waste reduction.

The Guam Supreme Court has already invalidated two public laws enacted after the Agreement (Public Law 24-272 and section 6 of Public Law 24-57), which sought to prohibit incineration generally and specifically under the Agreement. See *Pangelinan v. Gutierrez*, 2004 Guam 16. Like Public Law 24-272 and section 6 of Public Law 24-57, Public Law 25-175 was enacted after the Agreement and attempts to prohibit incineration under the 2000 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan. Based upon the precedent set by the Guam Supreme Court in *Pangelinan v. Gutierrez*, the provisions of Public Law 25-175 that prohibit incineration are invalid and must be severed from Public Law 25-175. Accordingly, Public Law 25-175 cannot be read to prohibit incineration and GEPA and the Government of Guam cannot rely on that law as the basis for excluding incineration from the September Plan. Further, any attempt by GEPA to rely on Public 25-175 is a continuation of the bad faith of the Government of Guam in refusing to honor its obligations under a valid agreement.

5. The September Plan provides that the new MSWLF will be located at *Layon, Dandan, Inarajan*.

Comment -- The September Plan fails to abide by existing public laws that provide that the new MSWLF shall be located at *Guatali* or at *Malaa*. (See Public Law 23-95, 24-06, and the 2000 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan.) The September Plan incorrectly states that Public Law 23-95 was nullified by the Superior Court in *San Miguel v. Department of Public Works*, Superior Court of Guam Civil Case No. CV 892-04. Although the *San Miguel* decision ruled that the Government need not consider *Guatali*, a final judgment was not issued by the Superior Court on the issue and the matter is currently on appeal. Thus, the decision is not considered final and Public Law 23-95 continues to be effective with respect to *Guatali*.


Further, with respect to *Malaa*, the *San Miguel* decision denied the Government's motion for summary judgment, finding that material facts are in dispute regarding why *Malaa* was not considered as a proposed landfill site and the parties were ordered to go to trial on the matter. The decision did not dispose of the taxpayer plaintiffs' substantive claims with respect to *Malaa*. Accordingly, there is no order invalidating or nullifying Public Law 23-95's mandate that the next landfill must be located at *Malaa* and the matter is pending trial for final resolution.

In conclusion, the September Plan supercedes the January Plan previously submitted to the Legislature and the January Plan must be withdrawn from legislative consideration. Further, GRRP believes the annual economic impact to the general public resulting from the September Plan's provision that the new landfill will be located at *Dandan* should alone exceed \$500,000.00. Thus, a full economic impact statement that is compliant with the provisions of the Administrative Adjudication Law must first be completed by GEPA.

The September Plan also makes clear that GEPA is selectively choosing which laws it will follow. GEPA has decided to abide by Public Law 25-175, even though that law, to the extent it prohibits incineration, is invalid per *Pangelinan v. Gutierrez*, 2004 Guam 16. On the other hand, GEPA has chosen to ignore the Legislature's mandate pursuant to legislation still in effect that the new landfill be located at *Guatali* or *Malaa*. Accordingly, because the September Plan ignores the current state of Guam law by failing to incorporate incineration as a viable method of waste reduction and by failing to provide for the location of the new MSWLF at *Guatali* or *Malaa*, it is illegal.

Sincerely,

Guam Resource Recovery Partners

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Wahib Guirguis', with a large, sweeping flourish extending from the end of the signature.

Wahib Guirguis



GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN

P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (Guam 2006 ISWMP)

Summary of Comments and Response Amendment No. 1

In the process of seeking public input on the Draft 2006 update to the Guam Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (the draft Plan), five written letters of comment were received at Guam EPA, three of which were presented during the public hearing held on September 26, 2006. In addition to the five, two additional oral comments were also made during the public hearing. Responses to comments made during the public hearing are provided in Attachment 1 – TESTIMONY BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

Written Comments:

The three written letters received during the public hearing were addressed by Guam EPA staff and members of the Board as noted Attachment 1 above. These letters of comments were from, Jose S.N. & Lolita B. Chargualaf, Concerned Residents of Inarajan, Ms. Alicia Diego, resident of Inarajan, and Paul S. Tobiason, Member of Recycling Association of Guam.

The forth letter of written comment was provided by Yusuke Kurumi, President and CEO of Ocean Development Co., Inc (Group), received by Guam EPA on September 26, 2006. Submitted in his package were the following:

- 1) Comment letter dated September 25, 2006
- 2) Document entitled *Proposal of a Solid Waste Treatment Plant for the Island of Guam*
- 3) Document entitled *Proposal for Prevention of Environmental Pollution at the Ordot Dump in Guam*
- 4) Document entitled *Proposal of a Cover System for Prevention of Rain Water for the Ordot Dump for the Island of Guam*
- 5) A CD on the *Active Water Plant* (NHK TV)
- 6) A DVD on the MDN (Magmatizing Waste Disposal to Nature) Process Plant (NHK TV)

Mr. Kurumi suggested the use of the latest waste disposal system which is practically used in Japan. Specifically, he proposes the use of a smaller M.D.N. plant for household waste treatment in Guam and provides documents and electronic presentations supporting his proposal. In reply to his comment, Chapter Six: Disposal and Waste Diversion, states that the use of other solid waste disposal and practical volume reduction be considered as part of the overall solid waste management strategy. Furthermore, it states that, "Landfilling, in combination with alternative forms of solid waste source and volume reduction methods, must be analyzed in terms of

effectiveness, costs, and environmental impacts, with the results compared and measured against the projected capacity of the Layon Landfill and other future landfill sites.”

The fifth letter of written comment was provided by Wahib Guirguis, Guam Resources Recovery Partners, received by Guam EPA on September 26, 2006. Comment No. 2 was considered and responded to during the September 26, 2006 Public Hearing when asked by Ms. Rosanna San Miguel as Comment No.5 of Attachment 1. Comments 3 and 4 contain legal assertions and arguments regarding incineration and the restrictions in Public Law 25-175, all of which were considered and responded to in the draft Plan and during the Public Hearing when asked by Mr. Jose Chargualaf. During the Public Hearing, Ms. Conchita's Taitano's response to Mr. Chargualaf's comment on incineration, (Comment No. 1 of Attachment 1), stated the following, "...the Plan reflects what the current decisions are. If incineration happened to be approved, incineration would have been an option, but the law, the current law, says we can't even discuss incineration.." Comment 5 contains legal assertions and arguments regarding the San Miguel case, which were considered and addressed in the draft Plan. In addition, Comments 3, 4, and 5 were similar to comments submitted by Calvo & Clark, Legal Counsel for Guam Resource Recovery Partners during the public comment period of the development of the January 2006 Guam Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, and therefore they were also considered and responded to in the draft Plan.

Therefore, in review of the submitted written and oral comments received during the established Public Comment period and scheduled Public Hearing, where relevant to the Plan, have been addressed in the Plan as approved by the Board on September 26, 2006.

TESTIMONY BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE GENERAL PUBLIC¹:

Guam EPA Board Public Hearing on the Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan
5:00 p.m. September 26, 2006 Guam EPA Main Office Conference Room, Tiyan

1. Mr. Jose Chargualaf, Malojloj:

- Voiced his many concerns related to the impacts of siting and construction of the proposed Landfill in Dandan;
- Noted that recycling on Guam is an individual enterprise and that the Government of Guam is "lazy" regarding island recycling;

Response from Guam EPA, Ms. Conchita Taitano, Air & Land Administrator: (Does the ISWMP affect the Consent Decree? Question posed by Guam EPA Administrator...)

- *Reminded the Board that the purpose of the Public Hearing is to evaluate and look at the merits of the integrated solid waste management plan for Guam. (The people present are not at this meeting to discuss the siting criteria/siting of the new Landfill);*
- *What Guam EPA put in the plan merely reflects what the current decisions are;*
- *Guam EPA is coordinating with USEPA (as they may not approve) the proposed date changes relative to the Consent Decree (closure of the Ordot Dump and opening of the new Landfill);*
- *In response to Mr. Chargualaf's concern about additional hydrogeologic work needed (at the new Landfill site), Ms. Taitano acknowledged that such plans are underway and included in the modifications to the Consent Decree;*

Added response from Guam EPA Administrator John Jocson:

The ISWMP does not dictate the site/location of the new Landfill. The siting was determined under the Consent Decree. Guam EPA is simply incorporating what was put forth by the Consent Decree. If USEPA made an alternate decision regarding the new Landfill siting, then Guam EPA would go back and modify the ISWMP plan accordingly.

Chief Planner Randel L. Sablan addressed the question of Board member Thomas Poole: Was there an assessment done (environmental impact statement) for the Layon site?

Response: There were three environmental impact statements done on three primary sites (site proposed through a site screening evaluation process). There are volumes of documents available on the landfill part of the ISWMP.

2. Mr. Joseph T. Flores, Executive Director, Guam Developmental Disabilities Council (GDCC):

- At the state level, GDCC represents all the individuals with developmental disabilities and their families. There are 39,962 people on Guam (25.8% of the population), as of April 1, 2000, who fall under this category (CENSUS 2000).
- In the event of a disaster (in this case possible re-location of residents), Mr. Flores shared his particular concern about the welfare of his clientele who live in southern Guam and are very vulnerable.

¹ Taped testimony available for review upon appointment with the Board Secretary.

3. Alicia Diego, Inarajan resident:

- Desired clarification that the Layon landfill site was "the landfill site" integrated into the ISWMP;
- Asked for the status on Guam EPA's effort to put some devices at the landfill site so that the impact of run-off to Ugum (River?) could be evaluated; she does not support having the Layon landfill incorporated into the ISWMP until she sees the results of the hydrogeologic study. Ms. Diego wants the results of the study shared with the people who live in the south, especially in the village of Inarajan;
- Asked if there was a possibility that the (Layon) landfill site could be modified (an alternate site chosen);
- Presented additional suggestions: (a) Referencing Roland's presentation question, "How do we get there" - Have another hearing in the south, especially for those people living in the Layon area (b) Asked that the Board members take a tour of the pristine area (landfill site) which has the opportunity to be a water resource for Guam (c) Reference to ISWMP Section 8.34 "School Recycling Centers" - Ms. Diego commented that each GPSS school should decide whether or not it wants to serve as a local collection point for certain recyclable materials. Suggested that programs be "piloted", i.e. aluminum collection site, simply because to do something like this entails involving the kids, the parents, etc. Right now, Guam's school system is set up for a curriculum that is mainly reading, language, and math (simply because it is tied to federal funding); and the system cannot carryout the ecology/science standard stated in the ISWMP because GPSS is into the particular DI curriculum. (d) If there is a collection site at the school, where is the manpower to deal with this. Guam EPA has to make contact with the (school) principles to work out the program kinks and details.

Acting Administrator John Jocson responded to each of Mrs. Diego's points as follows:

- *Layon is the landfill site which has been included in the ISWMP;*
- *One of the delays in the landfill design is the completion of the hydrogeologic study. According to Chief Planner Randel Sablan, field work will begin in the next few weeks and the whole study will take less than one year. Data would be recovered over three quarters to be able to characterize the "run-off". The details of the scope of work are ready (drill the wells, take the samples, run the model, prepare the report, then take the results and break those into the design, if there are design changes that are needed.) but the contract is pending.*
- *The designation of an alternate landfill site would fall under the Consent Decree and not the ISWMP. Changes to the current Consent Decree would require Guam EPA to revise the ISWMP as appropriate to reflect such modifications.*
- *Member Bob Perron commented that GPSS will make the decision and agree on how it will be involved in ISWMP programs.*

4. Paul Tobiason, Recycling Association of Guam:

- Generally commented that the 138 page document is a well done plan and easily accessible via the Agency's web site. It seems to be an aggressive plan which is good.
- Asked how the plan would be implemented and if he was correct in saying that that the transfer station operations will be privately operated. He is in favor of setting up a new price structure for this service.
- Disappointed in government of Guam Executive Order 2003-17 but not much is being done to accomplish the mandates under this executive order.
- Recommended more open "electronic" avenue to send in comments via e-mail or facsimile. Current instructions do not allow for this and restrict submittal of comments via hand delivery or mailing.

5. Russana San Miguel, former Mayor of Ordot Chalan Pago:

- Asked if this Public Hearing was being held in line with the AAA process.
- Commented that the plan is fairly standard and that she did not agree with the Landfill site location at Dandan. Nor is she in favor of extending the lifetime of the Ordot dump or the lateral extension of the Ordot dump.
- Concerned about the "waiver" of requirement for an economic impact study and asked if the closure of the Ordot Dump was part of the economic study?

In response to the comment about an economic impact study, Chief Planner Randel Sablan stated that the law requires that Guam EPA make a determination as to the cost effect of the plan when it is implemented. For the ISWMP, there is no direct economic effect between the approval of the plan and the closure of the Ordot Dump. Mr. Sablan offered to go over the figures and the methodology with Ms. San Miguel.

Acting Administrator John Jocson clarified that the ISWMP follows what is already in progress. This plan addresses Guam's waste management plan related to the upcoming military build-up by stating that "until further data is received pertinent to what the military is going to do on Guam, the plan will need to be revised in the future" (updated to incorporate information on how Guam will handle the waste stream of the military). It was also noted that it is the goal or desire through the ISWMP to have one regional solid waste disposal facility for the island of Guam.

6. Lucy Perez, GTA

There are many opportunities to continue to provide input. But the Board's job tonight is to get a plan so at least Guam has one versus not having a plan. She noted that in the absence of a plan, we will have chaos. The important issue is to have a plan, a working document in place.

Jose S.N. & Lolita B. Chargualaf
POB 170152, Inarajan, Guam 96915
457 San Nicolas Rd., Malojloj
TeleFax: (671) 828-1217
e-Mail: malojloj@msn.com
lolital@ite.net



26 September 2006

Written Testimony Re the Proposed Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan

To Guam EPA Acting Administrator and Director of Department of Public Works

Hafa Adai,


I am addressing my concerns on the Proposed Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan for the proposed landfill in Dandan in the municipality of Inarajan due to the lack of greater concern by DPW and GEPA officials in protecting and furthermore preserving our only major and very viable surface water resource for the people of Guam. The lack of transparency and most importantly the total exclusion of the people in the community specially the affected residents in Inarajan to participate in the selection and determination of the Dandan site is totally unacceptable.

To proceed and conduct this public hearing simply to comply in proceeding with this highly questionable project is an outright insult and denial of accepting the fact that I as a very concerned resident with more facts of what is truly at stake is outrageous.

The main issue opposing the approval for the proposal and hopefully the legislature will disapprove this plan for the fact that there are too many unanswered questions primarily the overall cost analysis for the entire project. For example, how much will it cost the rate payers or the tax payers on Guam for widening the roads, resurfacing of dilapidated road beds and bridges such as Talofofo and Asalonso. The purchase and lease of land in the 3,000 acres where the selected site for the construction of the 150 to 200 acres in privately owned land. This huge project as of today remains and continue to be a mystery as to the overall price tag from the beginning to the actual construction of the landfill.

Last but not least, as of today I have no idea who is going to pay for the purchase of the landfill site and what is the actual price? So you must understand gentlemen that before you begin to operate the proposed landfill, you must at least have a complete Environmental Impact Assessment of this project. You know that there is no EIA on this project to this date that I can get answers to regarding these specific issues I raised during the Scoping Meetings and subsequent meetings I attended in Inarajan and other areas.

I am urging the Senators in the 28th Guam Legislature to disapprove this proposed plan. The overall cost of this project in my estimation is well over \$10,000,000 for roads, bridges and acquisition (right of way) of private lands.



Jose S.N. Charguafaj
Concerned Resident of Inarajan

JSNC:lbc
09/2006

NOTE: Addition attachment of photos supporting this testimony.


Last but not least, as of today I have no idea who is going to pay for the purchase of the landfill site and what is the actual price? So you must understand gentlemen that before you begin to operate the proposed landfill, you must at least have a complete Environmental Impact Assessment of this project. You know that there is no EIA on this project to this date that I can get answers to regarding these specific issues I raised during the Scoping Meetings and subsequent meetings I attended in Inarajan and other areas.

I am urging the Senators in the 28th Guam Legislature to disapprove this proposed plan. The overall cost of this project in my estimation is well over \$10,000,000 for roads, bridges and acquisition (right of way) of private lands.



Jose S.N. Chargualaf
Concerned Resident of Inarajan

JSNC:lbc
09/2006

NOTE: Addition attachment of photos supporting this testimony.



Part of the major crack on the road at Wolford area from the 8.2 quake and continues to worsen for lack of repair by DIRM. The red lines show the crack along the roadway. To the left of this roadway is a 60 foot drop away and the very narrow blind curves ahead.



As of this date 9/26/06 from the major quake of 1993 this remains and continue to be a major hazard to the people who travel to and from the Inarajan and Merizo villages. Guess how deep this hole?

photo by José Chargualaf 9/26/26

**YOU NEED TO KNOW WHAT ARE THE NATURAL
RESOURCES BE IT IN YOUR BACKYARD OR IN FRONT.
BY JOSE S.N. CHARGUALAF**



OUR WATER SOURCES TODAY AND TOMORROW !

This particular picture is of equal importance together with the other text photos in this CD. My family, friends and hundred other residents in Southern Guam, individuals in other villages are united in opposing landfills at Lonfit in Asan, Sabanan Batea in Yona and last but not least , the 2,000 to 3,000 acres in Dandan in Inalahan. To most people who do not see or know Dandan to Finatasa 's vast grassland and rolling hills, they can be easily led to believe that this pristine ecosystem is nothing more than a land suitable only for the trash and poisons to be warehoused in our watershed. The selection and action of GEPA and DPW officials siting Dandan, top of three sites for a possible super landfill is utterly deplorable. This area in Inalahan is overflowing and saturated with fresh water above and below ground as evident by the numerous presence of wetlands, springs, ponds and rivers. The many pictures shown in this CD should be the overriding testimony and beyond any reasonable doubts that no landfill "state of the art" or not should be placed in our potable watershed. "Water for People Water for Life" as reported in the first United Nation World Water Development Report as published in "Our Planet" magazine for 2003. Reminder, PL 20-06, upon signing Bill 546, declared that all water on Guam - whether above or below ground - belongs to the people of Guam and must be protected at all cost. Furthermore, PL 23-95 already designated as to where the new landfill should be constructed. Why is GEPA, USEPA and DPW violating this law and PL 20-06? We understand that both public laws have not been amended or repealed to authorize landfills to be constructed specially in watershed areas potential for water development projects. GWA has recently conducted village meetings requesting input from the communities in assisting them in developing additional water source. Finally Dandan to the southernmost part of Inalahan does not have any natural barriers, high mountains and tall trees to prevent pollutants especially airborne toxic chemicals in the event a landfill is built in this area. Mitigating supposedly the landfill in this area is not, and we repeat, is not a solution to protect the wetlands, springs, ponds and rivers around the landfill. Putting the landfill in this area will undoubtedly alter original flow of the water specially during heavy rain storms. Our comments are basically down to earth understanding of how Mother Nature dictate the uniqueness of the Dandan landscape.


The study of the island's watersheds mentioned a total of forty water sources from the central to the southernmost part of Guam. Of the forty watersheds, eighteen have some potentials for development for water source. These sites are listed in Volume I of Guam Surface Water Development Study, Table 1.7.3. and Figure 1.6-2 identified these sites on the map of the island.

proposed mounded landfill...
Dandan...photo illustration by Jose
S.N. Charualaf 6/29/05..boring #1
& 2..Finatasa Fall.




This photo shows the northwestern section of the proposed super-mounded landfills in Dandan, Malolos, Bulacan. The entire footprint of this project is on top of the Watershed directly impacting on the wetlands, rivers, streams whether they are above or below ground. The boring indicated in this photo without a doubt revealed million gallons of water from west to east of the footprint. The sound of the water by dropping a pound of rock is like a giant wave crashing at the rocky shoreline at Tabolo and Linaoan (referred to here) "Landfills leak". Leak monitoring devices spaced around the landfills does not guarantee any away drop of methane as it escapes and migrates into the ground.

Photo of the former Malolos Camp, the uppermost fall in the Finatasa River.





This is the infamous Asalonso Bridge, guess how many years it has been neglected, a four bus fell into this ravine. The water in this river starts at Dardian northeast of the NASA facility and emptys at Jailuc Cove by Talofoto Bay. The yellow markings show the bridge and all the deep gorges on the left side of the road all the way to the crack at the Wolford area. The red is a computer animation fencing of how DPW has failed to repair and make this road safer for the motoring public.



about 4 to 5 feet from the
shoulder landslide, river

This is the infamous Asalonso Bridge, guess how many years it has been neglected, a four bus fell into this ravine. The water in this river starts at Dard in northeast of the NASA facility and emptys at Jailuc Cove by Talofof Bay. The yellow fence is show the bridge and all the deep gorges on the left side of the road all the way to the track at the Wolford area. The red is a computer animation fencing of how DPW has failed to repair and make this road safer for the motoring public.

From the main highway, the road at Molond area from the 8.2 quake and continues to western
not lead to major by DTV. The road shows the creek along the highway. To the left of this
roadway, in a 60 foot deep ravine and the very narrow blind curves ahead.



As of this date 9/26/06 from the major
quake of 1993 this remains and continue to
be a major hazard to the people who travel
to and from the Inarajan and Meizozo
villages. Guess how deep this hole?

photo by José Chargualaf 9/26/26



GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

AGENSIA PROTEKSION LINA LA GUAHAN

[Home](#)
[Programs](#)
[Permits](#)
[Regulations](#)
[Education](#)
[About GEPA](#)
[Search](#)
[Beach Report](#)
[Earth Week 2006](#)
[2005 Hazardous
Waste Report Forms](#)
[PL 28-57](#)
[GEPA Programs:](#)
[Administration](#)
[Monitoring & Analytical
Services](#)
[Planning & Review](#)
[Air & Land](#)
[Water](#)
[Public Notices](#)
[Job Openings](#)
[for KIDS](#)



1999 Department of
the Year
(Small Agency)

Hafa Adai!



Welcome to the official Guam
Environmental Protection Agency
Web site!

Sharing environmental information
with the public is one of Guam
EPA's most important mandates, and
we look forward to increasing your
ability to access information about
our island's environment through this
site. Of note are the weekly [Beach](#)

[Reports](#), a Guam EPA service that monitors water quality at
44 beaches around the island. To the right you'll notice our
News section, where you can get updates on the latest
environmental news on Guam.

As this site grows, we hope to hear your ideas and
suggestions about how we can improve it. Thank you for
visiting.

JOHN M.U. JOCSON
Acting Administrator

Environmental Tip of the Week:

News

**[Draft 2006 Integrated
Solid Waste
Management Plan
available for public
comment](#)**

Guam EPA is
proposing revisions to
the island's Integrated
Solid Waste
Management Plan. The
public comment period
closes **September 26,
2006**. Guam EPA's
Board of Directors will
hold a **public hearing**
on the plan at 5 p.m. on
September 26.

The draft Plan is
available here: [Draft
Guam 2006 Integrated
Solid Waste
Management Plan](#), with
appendices (PDF,
3.6MB).

A fact sheet on the
draft Plan is also
available: [Fact Sheet:
Guam 2006 Integrated
Solid Waste
Management Plan
Update](#) (PDF, 57K).

Also available:
[GEDCA's Economic
Impact Determination
for the 2006 ISWMP](#)
(PDF, 35K).

**[Governor names
Jocson Acting
Administrator](#)**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
Draft Guam 2006
Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan

The Guam Environmental Protection Agency is proposing to revise the Guam 2000 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (ISWMP), as required by Chapter 51 of Title 10 Guam Code Annotated.

The purpose of this public hearing and comment period is to provide an opportunity to obtain public comment and input, and to comply with the procedural requirements under the Administrative Adjudication Act.

Copies of the Draft Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan and Fact Sheet will be available for public review on September 15, 2006, at the Guam EPA office at 17-3304 Mariner Avenue Tiyan, Guam, weekdays (except holidays) between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Interested parties may also view the documents on the Agency's Web site, www.guamepa.net:

- Fact Sheet: Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan Update (PDF, 57K)
- Draft Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan, with appendices (PDF, 3.6MB)

The public hearing will begin at 5 p.m. Tuesday, September 26, 2006, at the Guam EPA Conference Room, 17-3304 Mariner Avenue, Tiyan, Guam.

The public comment period commences **September 15, 2006, and ends September 26, 2006.**

Written comments must be submitted no later than **5:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 26, 2006**, either by hand delivery to the Guam EPA Main Office or by mail to:

Administrator
Guam Environmental Protection Agency
P.O. Box 22439
Barrigada, Guam 96921

Attention: Ms. Barbara F. Torres

No facsimile submittals will be accepted. For further information, please contact Ms. Barbara F. Torres at (671) 475-1655 or or Barbara.Torres@guamepa.net or Ms. Conchita Taitano at (671) 475-1609 or Conchita.Taitano@guamepa.net.

/s/ JOHN M.U. JOCSON
Acting Administrator



SPORTS SCOREBOARD

A Continued from Page 46

FOOTBALL

National Football League
ARIZONA CARDINALS—Re-signed LB Isaac Keys to the practice squad. Released C Shaun Lynch from the practice squad.

CAROLINA PANTHERS—Placed LT Travis Wharton on injured reserve. Signed DT Jeremy Bridges.

CLEVELAND BROWNS—Reinstated DB Deven Holly to the 53-man roster. Waived DL Johan Heyne.

DETROIT LIONS—Signed WR Az-Zahir Hakeem to a one-year contract.

GREEN BAY PACKERS—Released FB Vonta Leach.

HOUSTON TEXANS—Placed CB Roc Alexander on injured reserve. Signed CB Kevin Garrett and LB Roy Manning. Signed G Doug Mienhuis to the practice squad.

RELEASED RB Chris Taylor and FB Robert Douglas.

JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS—Placed DE Reggie Hayward on injured reserve. Signed DT Montavious Stanley from St. Louis' practice squad.

PHILADELPHIA EAGLES—Signed CB Dexter Wynn. Released RB Bruce Perry.

SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS—Waived FB Zak Kasper from the practice squad.

RELEASED DT Sam Walker to the practice squad.

WASHINGTON REDSKINS—Signed TE Todd Yoder. Released FB Nehemiah Broughton.

HOCKEY

National Hockey League

BOSTON BRUINS—Announced the retirement of F Tom Fitzgerald.

BUFFALO SABRES—Signed C Mark Zagarino. Re-signed F Jiri Novotny, F Michael Ryan and F Chris Thorburn. Named James Patrick assistant coach. Promoted assistant coach Brian MacKinnon to associate head coach.

RELEASED Kevin Downing to the practice squad of amateur scouting, and scout Scott Schreier to director of amateur scouting operations.

DETROIT RED WINGS—Traded G Drew MacIntyre to the Vancouver Canucks for a conditional 2007 draft pick.

EDMONTON OILERS—Re-signed RW Jody Lupul to a three-year contract.

NEW YORK ISLANDERS—Re-signed G Rick DiPietro to a 15-year contract.

OTTAWA SENATORS—Agreed to terms with C Alexei Kalashnikov on a two-year contract.

PHILADELPHIA FLYERS—Signed C Ryan Kessler to a one-year offer sheet.

PITTSBURGH PENGUINS—Released F Jeff Kroskoski and G Gabriel Bourque. Reassigned F Michael Goulet to Everett of the WHL. D Ryan Marshall to London of the OHL. D Jean-Philippe Paquet to Shattworth of the QMJHL, and G Jean-Christophe Stenlund to Victoriaville of the QMJHL. American Hockey League.

GRAND RAPIDS GRIFINS—Named Ryan Post ticket sales account executive.

RELEASED ECHL.

COLUMBIA INFERNOS—Agreed to terms with D Chuck Torrey.

United Hockey League.

FORT WAYNE KOMETS—Signed D Marco Lacroce.

KALAMAZOO WINGS—Named Elizabeth Hughes athletic trainer.

QUAD CITY MALLARDS—Agreed to terms with F Luke Stauffer.

MOTORSPORTS

MASCOT—Suspended driver Kevin Grubb indefinitely for refusing to submit to a drug test following Friday night's race in Richmond.

COLLEGE

CLEMSON—Named Travis Furbee assistant athletic director of soccer operations.

COLGATE—Named Jo Mooney women's assistant lacrosse coach.

HOFSTRA—Named Emily Hardy director of basketball operations.

JOHNSON C. SMITH—Named Alexis Arny compliance coordinator.

LSU—Named Megan Smith assistant softball coach.

NORTHWEST STATE—Announced RB Antonio Robinson has transferred to the school.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GUAM

IN THE MATTER OF ESTATE OF

JOSEPH HAMAKO UHERHEWAR, Deceased.

PROBATE CASE NO. PR0094-06

NOTICE TO CREDITORS

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN by the undersigned, MABO UHERHEWAR, Administrator of the Estate of Joseph Hamako Uherhewar, decedent, to the creditors of, and all persons having claims against the said decedent, that within two (2) months after the first publication of this notice they file them with necessary vouchers to said Administrator at the Law Office of Lujan, Aguigui & Perez, LLP, Suite 300 Pacific News Building, 238 Arch Bishop Flores Street, Hagatna, Guam 96910, the same being the place for the transaction of said estate. Dated this 8 day of September, 2006.

/s/ MABO UHERHEWAR, ADMINISTRATOR

NOTICE OF SALE UNDER MORTGAGE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to 18 G.C.A. §36113, Paragraph 7(g)(ii) of the below described mortgage and the Notice of Default recorded on June 28, 2006 as Instrument No. 738860, that the mortgage executed on December 22, 1999 by LINDA C. SAN NICOLAS aka LINDA I. CRUZ, ("Mortgagor"), in favor of CITIBANK, N.A., ("Mortgagee"), and filed for record in the Department of Land Management, Government of Guam, on December 29, 1999 as Instrument No. 614773, will be FORECLOSED pursuant to a POWER OF SALE contained in the above mortgage. The property described below will be sold, WITHOUT WARRANTY BEING GIVEN, express or implied, regarding title, possession, rights of redemption or encumbrances, to the highest bidder at public auction, to be held at the Mayor's office in Barrigada, Guam at 2:00 p.m. on September 28, 2006, in order to satisfy the amount due on the mortgage and its promissory note at the time of the sale. Terms of the sale are cash, certified check or other terms deemed acceptable by Mortgagee. The Mortgagee reserves the right to bid all or part of the amount due on the mortgage at the time of the sale; to reject any bid made at the sale and to postpone the sale from time to time. The property subject to the mortgage and to be sold at sale is as follows: Lot No. 2337-1-R4, Barrigada, Guam, Estate No. 12741, Suburban, as said lot is marked and designated on Map Drawing No. ISL4-658-202, recorded in the Department of Land Management, Government of Guam on April 27, 1965 under Document No. 60439. Area: 700.262 square meters. The amount due the Mortgagee is for the sum of \$137,127.89, accrued interest as of June 16, 2006 of \$6,049.28, interest at the rate of 8.65% from June 16, 2006 to the date of full payment, attorney fees, and costs of this sale. The undersigned are the attorneys for the Mortgagee and hereby give notice that they are attempting to collect a debt and any information that is obtained will be used for that purpose. Dated this 28th day of August, 2006.

McCULLY & BEGGS, P.C., Attorneys for Citibank, N.A.
By /s/ MARK S. BEGGS
GUAM
On this 28th day of August, 2006, before me, the undersigned Notary, personally appeared MARK S. BEGGS, the person whose name is signed on the preceding document, and acknowledged to me that he signed it voluntarily for its stated purpose, as attorney for Citibank, N.A.

/s/ NEILISHA C. SAN AGUSTIN
NOTARY PUBLIC
In and for Guam, U.S.A.
My Commission Expires: Feb. 25, 2008
Site: 200, 139 Murray Blvd., Hagatna

What planet are you from? The Pacific Daily News is now ONLINE.
• Local News • Lifestyle • Sports • Classifieds • National and International News Online
www.guampdn.com
FOR ADVERTISING OPPORTUNITIES CALL 477-4741 EXT. 203

GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN
P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Draft Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan

Date: Tuesday, September 26, 2006
Time: 5:00 p.m.
Place: GEPA Conference Room
17-3304 Mariner Avenue, Tiyen, Guam

The Guam Environmental Protection Agency is proposing to revise the Guam 2000 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan (ISWMP), as required by Chapter 51 of Title 10 Guam Code Annotated.

The purpose of this public hearing and comment period is to provide an opportunity to obtain public comment and input, and to comply with the procedural requirements under the Administrative Adjudication Act. Copies of the Draft Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan and Fact Sheet will be available for public review on September 15, 2006 at the Guam EPA office at 17-3304 Mariner Avenue Tiyen, Guam, weekdays (except holidays) between the hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Interested parties may also view the documents on the Agency's Web Site www.guamepa.net.

The public comment period commences September 15, 2006 and ends September 26, 2006. Interested persons wishing to submit written comments on the Draft Guam 2006 Integrated Solid Waste Management Plan Update must do so by September 26, 2006, not later than 5:00 p.m., either by hand delivery to Guam EPA Main Office or by Mailing to:

Acting Administrator
Guam Environmental Protection Agency
P.O. Box 22439 GMF,
Barrigada, Guam 96921
Attention: Mr. Roland T. Gutierrez

No facsimile submittals will be accepted. For further information, please contact Mr. Roland T. Gutierrez or Ms. Conchita S.N. Taitano at 475-1658/9.

JOHN U. JOCSON
ACTING ADMINISTRATOR

GUAM ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
AHENSIAN PRUTEKSION LINA'LA GUAHAN
P.O. Box 22439 GMF • BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921 • TEL: 475-1658/9 • FAX: 477-9402

NOTICE TO THE PUBLIC

DRAFT SEDIMENT TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD FOR UGUM WATERSHED

Pursuant to Section 303(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act and its implementing regulations (40 CFR Part 130), the Guam Environmental Protection Agency is requesting public comments on the draft Sediment Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Uguu Watershed.

The federal Clean Water Act requires states, territories, and authorized Tribes to develop TMDLs for impaired waters that are on the 303(d) list. TMDLs identify the pollutant load reductions that are necessary from point and nonpoint sources, and guide implementation work by federal, state, tribal, territorial, and local water quality protection programs.

The water quality in the Uguu River has declined in recent years as a result of human activities that have increased erosion and resultant sedimentation in the stream and near shore waters. The increased sedimentation is considered especially significant in the Uguu Watershed because the Uguu River is a primary source of drinking water in southern Guam. The draft TMDL was prepared to determine how much reduction in sediment loading is needed to attain applicable targets and associated water quality standards.

The draft Sediment TMDL for Uguu Watershed is available for public review, for a 30 day comment period, Monday to Friday, during office hours of 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Guam EPA main office located at 17-3304 Mariner Avenue, Tiyen, Barrigada, Guam or on the Guam EPA website at: www.guamepa.net.

All comments on the draft TMDL must be submitted in writing within thirty (30) days of the date of this notice to the Acting Administrator, Guam EPA, P.O. Box 22439, GMF, Barrigada, Guam 96921. Comments and recommendations received will be incorporated into the draft document.

To request a copy of the draft Sediment TMDL for the Uguu Watershed, please contact Mr. Domingo S. Cabasao, Acting Chief Engineer, Water Programs Division at 475-1658/1635.

JOHN M.U. JOCSON
Acting Administrator

THIS ADVERTISEMENT WAS PAID WITH FEDERAL FUNDS BY:
Guam Environmental Protection Agency

A.B. Won Pat International Airport Authority
AIRPORT PLAZA, MOTON AVENUE, BARRIGADA, GUAM 96921
P.O. Box 8770, Tiyen, GU 96921 Tel: (477) 444-0300 Fax: (477) 444-0623

INVITATION FOR BID

AIRPORT SECURITY MOTORCYCLES

BID NO. GIAA-007-FY06
PRE-BID CONFERENCE: 10:00 am - 11:00 am - 21 Sept. 2006 - GIAA Conf. Rm.
SUBMISSION DEADLINE: 2:00 pm - 11 Oct. 2006 - GIAA Executive Office

Bids are being accepted from interested and qualified individuals/firms in a bid award process for the above project. Bid documents may be obtained anytime between 8:00 am - 5:00 pm Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. A non-refundable amount in cash or certified/cashier's check made payable to GIAA is required for bid documents, Twenty Five U.S. Dollars (\$25.00) for printed documents or Ten U.S. Dollars (\$10.00) for electronic copy on cd.

All prospective bidders are encouraged to attend the pre-bid conference. Bid documents are subject to revision and all parties who have signed for the receipt of such documents will be notified of such. The GIAA reserves the right to reject any and all bids and to waive any and all informalities, and to disregard all non-conforming or conditional bids. Upon the submission deadline, all bids will be publicly opened and read aloud. For additional information contact Alma Javier at (477) 444-0300-02 during the period stated above.

JOHN C. BANGS
Executive Director
JESS G. TORRES
Executive Manager

